



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,076	07/03/2003	Leigh H. English	MECO:218-1 8919 11792.0218.DVU	
45607 75	90 09/23/2005		EXAM	INER
HOWREY LL	.P		KUBELIK	, ANNE R
C/O IP DOCKE	TING DEPARTMENT			
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CH, VA 22042		1638	-

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/614,076	ENGLISH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/11/</u></li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 38-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 38-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	

K

Application/Control Number: 10/614,076

Art Unit: 1638

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 38-46 are pending.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 3. The terminal disclaimer filed on 11 May 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application that would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,501,009 and 6,620,988 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 4. The objection to claims 34 and 38-39 because of informalities is withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendment or cancellation of the claims.
- 5. The rejection of claims 41-43 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendment of the claims.
- 6. The rejection of claims 34 and 36 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,501,009 is withdrawn in light of Applicant's filing of a terminal disclaimer.
- 7. The rejection of claims 34 and 36-43 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,988 is withdrawn in light of Applicant's filing of a terminal disclaimer.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/614,076 Page 3

Art Unit: 1638

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. Claims 38-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The rejection is modified from the rejection set forth in the Office action mailed 1 March 2005, as applied to claims 34 and 36-43, due to Applicant's amendment of the claims. Applicant's arguments filed 11 May 2005 and 30 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Neither the instant specification nor the originally filed claims appear to provide support for the phrase "one or more point mutations ... Lys189 to Gly" in claim 38, lines 5-7, claim 39, lines 6-8, claim 44 lines 6-8, or for the phrase "further comprises the amino acid substitutions ... Gln348 to Arg" in claim 38, lines 8-9, claim 39, lines 14-16, and claim 40, lines 2-4. Thus, such a phrase constitutes NEW MATTER. In response to this rejection, Applicant is required to point to support for the phrase or to cancel the new matter.

Applicant urges that the same phrases were allowed in parent application 09/427,770, now US Patent 6,620,988 (11 May 2005 and 30 June 2005 responses pg 8).

This is not found persuasive, as each case is examined independently. Additionally, in '988 "Pro162 to His" is in the first phrase, not "Arg162 to His".

Applicant urges that all the amino acid substitutions are in Table 2, and one of skill in the art would understand that combining the substitutions from different variants would yield a

Art Unit: 1638

variant protein exhibiting improved activity, as is seen in variant 11231 and 11098 (11 May 2005 and 30 June 2005 responses pg 8-9).

This is not found persuasive because there is no support in the specification for mixing and matching all the substitutions in Table 2, or for mixing and matching that particular combination of substitutions. Additionally, there is no support for "Arg162 to His" in Table 2.

## Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne R. Kubelik, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached at (571) 272-0745.

The central fax number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system

Application/Control Number: 10/614,076

Art Unit: 1638

Page 5

provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Anne R. Kubelik, Ph.D. September 9, 2005

ANNE KUBELIK, PH.D.